

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,801		08/28/2003	Kunihiko Takeuchi	031089 5607	
23850	7590	09/29/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP				LUEBKE, RENEE S	
	1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN				2833	
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/649,801	TAKEUCHI &SUGIYA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Renee S. Luebke	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Se	eptember 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	A) 🔲 Imtorii 0	(DTO 412)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/28/03</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application Number: 10/649,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. Claims 6-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on September 21, 2004.

- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because the use of double numbers, for example 36(30), is improper and confusing. Each lead line should indicate a unique member or feature. In addition, it is recommended that reference numerals be numeric, not alpha-numeric.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in

Application Number: 10/649,801 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- Claim 1 lacks antecedent basis for "the inclined electric contact part" and "the corrected electric contact part" on the last two lines.
- Claims 2 and 3 each lack antecedent basis for "the electric contact parts" on line 2 and "the cavity parts" on line 5.
- Claim 3 is seen to be the same as claim 2 and will not be allowed in view thereof. The only difference between the claims is the substitution of "inclined" for "tapered" and the meaning of both of these terms is the same in the present context.

Appropriate correction is required.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato. This mounting structure of a junction box comprises a terminal part 109 of a busbar 107, a housing member 102, and a projecting piece 104 surrounding a cavity. The electric contact part 109a of the busbar is inserted into the cavity. The terminal part and the housing member form a connector. The electric contact part is inserted into the cavity by way of the inclined/tapered faces 1 in order to correct any potential misalignments.

Application Number: 10/649,801 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Saito, et al. and Sato comprise similar arrangements for correcting contact parts.

- 8. Claims 4 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Any response to this action may be mailed to:

 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (571) 272-2009. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

September 28, 2004